

MULTIPLE D_p -BRANES AS A D_{p+2} -BRANE

M. Ali-Akbari¹

School of Physics,

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences(IPM),

P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

and

M. A. Ganjali²

Department of Fundamental Sciences,

Tarbiat Moaallem University,

P. O. Box 31979-37551, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

From BFSS matrix theory considerations, it is expected that a single D_{p+2} -brane action can be obtained from N D_p -brane action in large N limit. We examine and confirm this expectation by working out the details of DBI and Chern-Simons terms of D_{p+2} -brane action from D_p -brane action. We show that the same relation works for non-BPS, as well as BPS branes.

¹aliakbari@theory.ipm.ac.ir

²ganjali@theory.ipm.ac.ir

1 Introduction

D_p -brane is a $(p + 1)$ -dimensional hypersurface in space-time defined by the property that open strings can end on it. They have been an active area of study and many remarkable properties of them have been discussed [1]. In particular, they have provided a useful tool for the study of black holes in string theory [2]; AdS/CFT correspondence is another offspring of D_p -branes [3]. Moreover, it is well-known that IIA and IIB string theories have odd and even BPS D_p -branes, respectively, and T-duality changes D_p -branes in these theories to each other (or in other words it replaces a scalar field with a gauge field and vice versa). D_p -branes are sources of RR $(P+1)$ -form field in IIA and IIB string theories.

There is an interesting candidate for DLCQ of M-theory in terms of D_0 -branes, the BFSS matrix model [4]. This conjecture tells us that all D_p -branes in IIA superstring theory can be described in terms of D_0 -branes and their bound states. This is a requirement for the BFSS matrix model as it is supposed that the theory of D_0 -branes describes the whole theory of DLCQ of M-theory. In particular, e.g. a flat D_2 -brane may be understood as a bound state of $N(N \rightarrow \infty)$ D_0 -branes. Therefore, understanding of connection among various D_p -branes seems to be significant. It is well known that the low energy limit of the D-brane action reduce to the Yang-Mills(YM) action. In YM limit, This connection has already been addressed in the literature e.g. see [5] but here we use another way introduced in [6] and explain it more precisely. In fact in YM theory we consider fluctuations around two directions which are transverse to multiple D_p -branes and it will be shown that these two directions play the role of components of gauge field in the world volume of D_{p+2} -brane. We will then extend the prescription found at the level of YM theory to terms, for both BPS and non-BPS Dirac-Born-Infeld(DBI) action and their Chern-Simons actions as well. The D_{p+2} -brane action is consistent with what is expected.

The multiple D_p -branes theory has three parameters the string length, l_s , string coupling constant, g_s , and the number of D_p -branes, N . Then our prescription can be explained as follow. Two transverse directions can be considered as a *fuzzy* torus³ (compact transverse direction on fuzzy torus) or on the other hand, for finite N , D_p -branes are uniformly distributed on these two directions. Now two new parameters are added to our theory which are

³Although, other configurations can be considered.

radii of fuzzy torus, R_1, R_2 . In order to take geometric interpretation, there is a consistent limit which is when the number of multiple D_p -branes and radii of fuzzy torus go to infinity like $\theta = \frac{R_1 R_2}{l_s^2 N} \rightarrow 0$. As we will see in this limit D_p -branes have been dissolved in the world volume of a D_{p+2} -brane.⁴

In comparison to two T-dualities replacing two transverse directions with two components of gauge field(or vice versa) on D_p -branes, in our prescription, we consider *multiple* D_p -branes and show that two transverse directions replace with two components of gauge field on D_{p+2} -brane. In opposite way, one can argue that a D_{p+2} -brane becomes *multiple* D_p -branes if two components of the gauge field are substituted by transverse scalar fields.

This paper is organized as following. In the two next sections the prescription is introduced and applied to YM theory and DBI actions. We then show that the non-BPS D-branes are consistent with above prescription and in the section five Chern-Simons action is considered. The last section is devoted to conclusion.

2 A large N limit of SYM theory

The bosonic part of a $p + 1$ dimensional $U(N)$ SYM theory is described by the action

$$S = -\frac{1}{2g_{YM}^2} \int d^{p+1}x \text{Tr} \left((D_\mu X^I)^2 - \frac{1}{2}[X^I, X^J]^2 + \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where⁵

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + i[A_\mu, A_\nu], \\ D_\mu \Phi &= \partial_\mu \Phi + i[A_\mu, \Phi]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$\mu(= 0, \dots, p)$ and $I(= p+1, \dots, 9)$. This action is also the action for N coincident D_p -branes in the $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ limit and in this picture μ and I denote world volume and transverse indices respectively. The above action has following $U(N)$ gauge symmetry

$$\delta_g X^I = i[X^I, \alpha], \quad (3a)$$

$$\delta_g A_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha + i[A_\mu, \alpha]. \quad (3b)$$

⁴The other limits are when θ is constant or goes to infinity. In both cases the final theories are non-commutative.

⁵In terms of D-brane and string theory parameters note that $g_{YM}^2 = (\lambda^2 T_p)^{-1} = (2\pi\lambda)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lambda^{-1} g_s$, $\lambda = 2\pi l_s^2$ where T_p is the brane tension.

In order to describe a D_{p+2} -brane, our prescription two steps.

- The $9 - p$ transverses directions are decomposed as

$$X^I \sim (y^{\dot{\mu}=1,2}, X^{i=p+3,\dots,9}), \quad (4)$$

and the following replacement will then be done

$$[\Phi(x), \Psi(x)] \rightarrow i\lambda\theta\{\Phi(x, y), \Psi(x, y)\}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\int d^{p+1}x \text{Tr}(\star) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} \int d^{p+1}x d^2y(\star), \quad (5b)$$

where $\{\Phi, \Psi\} = \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} \partial_{\dot{\mu}} \Phi \partial_{\dot{\nu}} \Psi$ (it is fixed such that $\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}\{y^{\dot{\mu}}, y^{\dot{\nu}}\} = 2$). Φ and Ψ are arbitrary fields and θ is a dimensionless constant. Note that, in new action, after above replacement all matrices in the YM theory have been changed with fields. Furthermore, these fields are functions of x, y and hence $D_{\dot{\mu}} X^i$ is no longer zero. Another point is that (5a) is right replacement when the number of D_p -branes are large.

- Fluctuations around two transverse directions, $X^{\dot{\mu}}$, is considered as

$$X^{\dot{\mu}} \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda\theta} y^{\dot{\mu}} - \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} A_{\dot{\nu}}. \quad (6)$$

The form of above equation indicates that fluctuations play the role of extra components of the world volume D_{p+2} -brane gauge field which we will show it in detail.

Let's start with variation of scalar fields under gauge transformation (3a) which leads to

$$\delta_g X^I = -(\delta_g y^{\dot{\mu}}) \partial_{\dot{\mu}} X^I, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\delta_g y^{\dot{\mu}} = \lambda\theta \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} \partial_{\dot{\nu}} \alpha. \quad (8)$$

Variation of the new components of the gauge field can be found by using (6) and (7) which is

$$\delta_g A_{\dot{\mu}} = \partial_{\dot{\mu}} \alpha + \lambda\theta \epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} \{X^{\dot{\nu}}, \alpha\}. \quad (9)$$

The first term in the YM action can be simplified by using (6) and we then have

$$(D_{\mu} X^I)^2 = (D_{\mu} X^i)^2 + (F_{\mu\dot{\nu}})^2, \quad (10)$$

The second term gives $\{X^i, X^j\}^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^{\dot{\nu}}\}^2 &= \frac{2}{(\lambda\theta)^4} + \frac{1}{(\lambda\theta)^2}(F^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}})^2 + \text{total derivative terms}, \\ \{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^i\}^2 &= \frac{1}{(\lambda\theta)^2}(D^{\dot{\mu}}X^i)^2,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^{\dot{\nu}}\} &= \frac{\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}}{(\lambda\theta)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda\theta}\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}}F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\nu}}, \\ \{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^i\} &= \frac{\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}}}{\lambda\theta}D_{\dot{\alpha}}X^i,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\dot{\mu}\nu} &= \partial_{\dot{\mu}}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\dot{\mu}} - \lambda\theta\{A_{\dot{\mu}}, A_{\nu}\}, \\ F_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} &= \partial_{\dot{\mu}}A_{\dot{\nu}} - \partial_{\dot{\nu}}A_{\dot{\mu}} - \lambda\theta\{A_{\dot{\mu}}, A_{\dot{\nu}}\}, \\ D_{\dot{\mu}}\Phi &\equiv -\lambda\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}\{X^{\dot{\nu}}, \Phi\} = \partial_{\dot{\mu}}\Phi - \lambda\theta\{A_{\dot{\mu}}, \Phi\}.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

By plugging the above expressions in (1), we arrive at a *non-commutative* D_{p+2} -brane action is appeared

$$S = -\frac{1}{2g_{YM}^2\lambda} \int d^{p+3}x \left((D_{\dot{\mu}}X^i)^2 + \frac{(\lambda\theta)^2}{4}\{X^i, X^j\}^2 + \frac{1}{2}F_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}^2 + \frac{1}{2(\lambda\theta)^2} \right),\tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} &= \partial_{\dot{\mu}}A_{\dot{\nu}} - \partial_{\dot{\nu}}A_{\dot{\mu}} + \lambda\theta\{A_{\dot{\mu}}, A_{\dot{\nu}}\}, \\ D_{\dot{\mu}}\Phi &= \partial_{\dot{\mu}}\Phi + \lambda\theta\{A_{\dot{\mu}}, \Phi\}.\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

$\hat{\mu} = (\mu, \dot{\mu})$ denotes the world volume index of D_{p+2} -brane and the above action is invariant under

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_g A_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu}\alpha + \lambda\theta\{A_{\mu}, \alpha\}, \\ \delta_g A_{\dot{\mu}} &= \partial_{\dot{\mu}}\alpha + \lambda\theta\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}\{X^{\dot{\nu}}, \alpha\}.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

As we will see in next section this action is a low energy limit of *non-commutative* D_{p+2} -brane action (22) where the B-field turns on as $B_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} = \frac{1}{\theta}\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}$. Hence the arbitrary constant, θ , plays the role of *non-commutative parameter* and it is acceptable to recover the commutative action when $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Obviously, the U(1) gauge theory action for D_{p+2} -brane is recovered although there is an extra term going to infinity in the action. This term can be considered as zero point energy of multiple D_p -branes dissolved in the D_{p+2} -brane world volume.

3 DBI theory

One of the remarkable properties of D_p -brane is that the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is enhanced to $U(N)$ gauge symmetry for N coincident D_p -branes. The suitable action for multiple D_p -branes was introduced in [7]. The action is

$$S = -T_p \int d^{p+1}x \times \text{STr} \left(\sqrt{-\det (P[E_{mn} + E_{mI}(Q^{-1} - \delta)^{IJ}E_{Jn}] + \lambda F_{\mu\nu}) \det(Q_J^I)} \right), \quad (17)$$

where $E_{mn} = G_{mn} + B_{mn}$, $Q_J^I \equiv \delta_J^I + i\lambda[X^I, X^K]E_{KJ}$. The brane tension is $T_p = \frac{2\pi}{g_s(2\pi l_s)^{p+1}}(l_s$ and g_s are string length and coupling) and "P" denotes pull-back of background metric and NSNS two-form($m, n = 0, \dots, 9$). $F_{\mu\nu}$ is field strength of gauge field living on the D_p -brane. $\text{STr}(\dots)$ denotes that one takes a symmetrized average over all ordering of various fields appearing inside the trace.

Let us again consider that two longitudinal directions of D-branes fluctuate such that $X^{\dot{\mu}} = \frac{1}{\lambda\theta}y^{\dot{\mu}} - \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}A_{\dot{\nu}}$. Working, in static gauge *i.e.* $\lambda X^\mu = x^\mu$, in flat space background and noting that the B is turned off in D_p -brane theory, the determinant in the action can be decomposed as

$$\tilde{D} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mu\nu} + \lambda F_{\mu\nu} & \lambda D_\mu X^{\dot{\nu}} & \lambda D_\mu X^j \\ -\lambda D_\nu X^{\dot{\mu}} & \delta^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} + i\lambda X^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} & i\lambda X^{\dot{\mu}j} \\ -\lambda D_\nu X^i & i\lambda X^{i\dot{\nu}} & Q_k^i \delta^{kj} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Using (12) and

$$D_\mu X^{\dot{\nu}} = F_{\mu\dot{\alpha}}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}}, \quad (19)$$

one can rewrite the determinant as

$$\tilde{D} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mu\nu} + \lambda F_{\mu\nu} & \lambda F_{\mu\dot{\alpha}}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\mu}} & \lambda D_\mu X^i \\ -\lambda F_{\nu\dot{\alpha}}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\mu}} & \delta^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} - \frac{1}{\theta}\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} + \lambda\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}}F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\nu}} & -\lambda\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}}D_{\dot{\alpha}}X^i \\ -\lambda D_\nu X^i & \lambda\epsilon^{\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}}D_{\dot{\alpha}}X^i & Q_k^i \delta^{kj} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Using the standard trick for recombining the determinant[7]

$$\tilde{D} = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det (A - BD^{-1}C) \det (D), \quad (21)$$

one can rearrange the action in a compact form similar to (17). As it can be seen, in the new action a constant B -field is turned on in new directions $\dot{\mu}$'s. Due to the facts that the covariant derivatives $D_{\hat{\mu}}$ and field strength $F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ have now a non-vanishing first order θ term and the B field is present, the new action is the $\theta \rightarrow 0$ limit of a *non-commutative* $U(1)$ D_{p+2} brane action[8]. The general form of such an action is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S} = & -T_p \int d^{p+1}x \left(e^{-\phi(\hat{A})} \right. \\ & \times \sqrt{-\det \left(P_{\theta}[E_{mn}(\hat{A}) + E_{mI}(\hat{A})(Q^{-1} - \delta)^{IJ}E_{Jn}(\hat{A})] + \lambda \hat{F}_{mn} \right) \det(Q_J^I)} \Big) *_{\mathcal{N}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $Q_J^I \equiv \delta_J^I + i\lambda[\hat{X}^I, \hat{X}^K]_M E_{KJ}(\hat{A})$ and " $\wedge$ " denotes non-commutative fields. Here the $*_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the multiplication rule among various non-commutative fields [9]. One can show that in the limits where the non-commutativity parameter θ goes to zero this action reduces to DBI action.

4 Non-BPS DBI action

Besides of BPS branes, which are charges of RR fields, non-BPS branes may exist in the IIA(B) theory. The existence of these branes may cause the instability and breaks the supersymmetry. From the field theory of world volume of D-brane point of view, the existence of a tachyonic field T is the reason of such phenomena.

In general, the action of non-BPS D_p -branes can be written as the product of the action of BPS D_p -branes and contribution which comes from the tachyon field such as

$$S_{Non-BPS} = T_p \int d^{p+1}x S_{BPS} F(T), \quad (23)$$

where $F(T)$ is the tachyonic contribution of the action of D_p -brane. The form of this action can be obtained by using the non-BPS D_9 (or D_8)-brane action and then performing the T-duality transformations[10]. Its most general form up to first order derivative of T may be written as

$$F(T) = V(T) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(T) \lambda^n ((E^{\mu\nu} - E^{\mu I} E_{IJ} E^{J\nu}) D_{\mu} T D_{\nu} T + 2i E^{\mu K} E_{KJ} [X^J, T] D_{\mu} T - E_{IJ} [X^I, T] [X^J, T])^n, \quad (24)$$

and $V(T)$ is the potential for the tachyon. Functions $f_n(T)$ are some even functions of the tachyon field [11], and although their explicit form are not known, there are some conjectures about it [12, 13].

Using the prescription (5a) in flat space background the second term vanishes and so $F(T)$ reads as

$$F(T) = V(T) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(T) \lambda^n ((E^{\mu\nu} - E^{\mu I} E_{IJ} E^{J\nu}) D_{\mu} T D_{\nu} T + (\lambda\theta)^2 E_{IJ} \{X^I, T\} \{X^J, T\})^n. \quad (25)$$

Applying $X^{\dot{\mu}} = \frac{1}{\lambda\theta} y^{\dot{\mu}} - \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\nu} A_{\nu}$ and noting that

$$\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\nu} D^{\dot{\mu}} T D^{\nu} T = 0,$$

one easily finds that the tachyonic part of Non-BPS multiple D_p -branes action changes to tachyonic part of a Non-BPS D_{p+2} -brane action.

5 Chern-Simons term

In this section we examine that the Chern-Simons term of N D_p -brane action also reproduce the Chern-Simons term of a single D_{p+2} -brane in the large N limits discussed in previous sections. As it is well known, the world volume of D_p branes in IIA(B) string theory can couple to RR fields of rank lower than the dimension of brane and also can couple to RR fields with higher rank due to Myers terms[7]. In fact, the matrix representation of fields and then the non-commutativity of such fields in non-Abelian theories allows one to couple a combination of form fields of higher rank and commutators of scalar fields with world volume of D-brane in a covariant manner.

On the other hand, the Chern-Simons action is given by [7]

$$S_{CS} = \mu_p \int \text{STr} (P[e^{i\lambda_{\text{ixix}}(\Sigma C^{(n)} e^B)] e^{\lambda F}), \quad (26)$$

where $C^{(n)}$ denotes $(p+1)$ -forms and μ_p is the RR charge of the brane and ix is the exterior derivative in X direction which acts on RR form fields. This

special form of the action is necessary for compatibility with the T-duality transformations of various IIA and IIB fields. Note that all fields are in adjoint representation of the $U(N)$ gauge group.

The general couplings of these form fields with world volume of D_p -branes have many terms so, for simplicity, we study D_1 - D_3 transition and only consider the couplings of all form fields up to the first power of fields.

Before we proceed, we mention that due to the non-commutativity of two longitudinal directions of D_1 -branes, one may define [14, 15] a new two form field $Q^{(2)}$ which its components are

$$Q^{(2)} \equiv -i\lambda^3\theta[X_{\dot{\mu}}, X_{\dot{\nu}}]dX^{\dot{\mu}} \wedge dX^{\dot{\nu}}. \quad (27)$$

So, one should consider the couplings of this two form field with world volume of D_1 -branes. We will see that this form fields has important role in this story. Finally, we will do our computations in the regime where $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and also consider the constant $C_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}$ and $F_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}$ fields such that

$$C_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} = \lambda^2\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}, \quad F_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}}{\lambda}. \quad (28)$$

By the above assumptions we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2\theta\{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^{\dot{\nu}}\} &\rightarrow \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right), & Q_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} &\rightarrow \lambda^2\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}, \\ \lambda^2\theta\{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^i\} &\rightarrow \lambda\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}}D_{\dot{\alpha}}X^i, & \theta\{X^i, X^j\} &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

After all, recalling that in D_1 -branes we have $B = 0$ then the Chern-Simons term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CS} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int \text{STr} \left(P[e^{i\lambda \mathbf{i}_X \mathbf{i}_X} (C^{(2)}(1 + Q^{(2)}))] e^{\lambda F} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} C_{mn} D_{\mu} X^m D_{\nu} X^n \right) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int d^4x \left(\frac{-\lambda^2\theta}{2\lambda^2} \{X^m, X^n\} C_{nm} \right) \lambda F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int d^4x \left(\frac{-\lambda^2\theta}{2\lambda^2} \{X^m, X^n\} C_{nm} \right) Q_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} D_{\mu} X^{\dot{\mu}} D_{\nu} X^{\dot{\nu}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int d^4x \left(\frac{-\lambda^2\theta}{2\lambda^2} \{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^{\dot{\nu}}\} Q_{\dot{\nu}\dot{\mu}} \right) C_{mn} D_{\mu} X^m D_{\nu} X^n \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int d^4x \left(\frac{-\lambda^2\theta}{2\lambda^2} \{X^{\dot{\mu}}, X^m\} C_{[m[n} Q_{\dot{\mu}]\dot{\nu}]} \right) D_{\mu} X^n D_{\nu} X^{\dot{\nu}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where the last term is antisymmetric for the pairs $(m, \dot{\mu})$ and $(n, \dot{\nu})$. Note also that due to dimensions of form fields any contraction with these fields leaves a factor $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ in the action.

Using (12) and (19) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} C_{mn} D_\mu X^m D_\nu X^n &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\lambda} C_{i[\mu} D_{\nu]} X^i + C_{ij} D_\mu X^i D_\nu X^j \\ &- \frac{1}{\lambda} C_{\dot{\mu}[\mu} F_{\nu]\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\mu}} - C_{\dot{\mu}i} D_{[\mu} X^i F_{\nu]\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\mu}} + \mathcal{O}(F^2), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and one may rewrite the integrand of the above expression term by term as

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (C_{mn} D_\mu X^m D_\nu X^n) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &- \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left(2\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1 \right) + 2C_{i\dot{\mu}} D_{\dot{\alpha}} X^i \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{O}(\theta) \right) F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &- \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (\mathcal{O}(F^2)) \\ &- \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1 \right) C_{mn} D_\mu X^m D_\nu X^n \right) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &- \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1 \right) (C_{\mu\dot{\nu}} + C_{i\dot{\nu}} D_\mu X^i) F_{\nu\dot{\beta}} \epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\nu}} + \mathcal{O}(F^2) \right) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \\ &- \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left((C_{\mu i} + C_{j i} D_\mu X^j) F_{\nu\dot{\beta}} D_{\dot{\nu}} X^i \epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\nu}} + \mathcal{O}(F^2) \right) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where the two last terms come from the last term of (30).

Now, for D₃-brane, the Chern-Simons term up to first power of fields is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CS} &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int \text{STr} \left(P[e^{i\lambda \mathbf{i}_X \mathbf{i}_X} (\Sigma C^{(n)} e^B)] e^{\lambda F} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left(\int d^2 x (C_{mn} B_{pq} D_{\dot{\mu}} X^m D_{\dot{\nu}} X^n D_{\dot{\lambda}} X^p D_{\dot{\theta}} X^q) \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\theta}} + \text{antisymm part} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left(\int d^2 x (C_{mn} D_{\dot{\mu}} X^m D_{\dot{\nu}} X^n \lambda F_{\dot{\lambda}\dot{\theta}}) \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\theta}} + \text{antisymm part} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where by *antisymm part* we mean that, for example, the terms of $C_{mn} B_{pq}$ are antisymmetric under the exchange of indices of C and B fields. Noting that in D₃-brane we have found $P(B) = \frac{\epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}}}{\theta}$, we rewrite the S_{CS} of D₃ brane as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{CH} &= \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \int d^2x (C_{\mu\nu} - 2C_{i\mu}D_\nu X^i + C_{ij}D_\mu X^i d_\nu X^j) \epsilon_{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int d^2x (C_{mn}D_{\dot{\mu}}X^m D_{\dot{\nu}}X^n F_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\theta}}) \epsilon^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\lambda}\hat{\theta}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It is not hard to show that the above D₃-brane Chern-Simons terms reproduce the D₁-branes Chern-Simons terms. We see the role of the two form field $Q^{(2)}$ in this D₁-D₃ transition in which some terms in D₃ such as $C_{\mu i}F_{\nu\dot{\beta}}D_{\dot{\nu}}X^i \epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\nu}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ come from the coupling of this form field with $C^{(2)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ in (30).

The general form of Chern-Simons action in non-commutative theories in the presence of non-zero constant B field may be written as[14, 15, 16]

$$S_{CS} = \mu_p \int \frac{\text{Pf}\hat{Q}}{\text{Pf}\theta} (P[e^{i(i_X * i_X)}(\Sigma C^{(n)})]e^{B+\lambda F}), \tag{35}$$

where $\hat{Q}^{mn} = \theta^{mn} - \theta^{m\hat{\alpha}}\hat{F}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}\theta^{\hat{\beta}n}$ and $\theta^{mn} = (B^{-1})^{mn}$, the Pf denotes the Pfaffian of an antisymmetric matrix and all products are understood as * product. It can be seen that in the $\theta \rightarrow 0$ limit this action and the action (33) coincide with each other.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we have shown that in flat space multiple D_p-branes can be considered as a D_{p+2}-brane for large number of D_p-branes. In fact matrix valued $p+1$ dimension fields go to $p+3$ dimension fields. The point is that two transverse directions to multiple D_p-branes appear as two components of gauge field living on the D_{p+2}-brane. One can run this prescription in opposite way and start with a D_{p+2}-brane and it finally leads to multiple D_p-branes. In other words, one exchanges two scalar fields with two components of gauge fields and at the end we have a D_{p+2}-brane or multiple D_{p-2}-branes.

Although, this setup has been done in flat space the above idea can be generalized to curved background. In well-known curved background such as pp-wave our half BPS D_p-branes have spherical symmetry and we may then expect multiple D_p-branes lead to a spherical D_{p+2}-brane.

Moreover, by using the above prescription, we expect a relation between mN D_p-branes and m D_{p+2}-branes in large N limit. Such idea is useful to

extract new understanding of the theory of multiple non-commutative D-branes.

Understanding of three dimensional conformal field theory(CFT) was an open issue for years [17]. Symmetries of this theory are consistent with symmetries of multiple M2-branes. Recently, a groundbreaking three dimensional CFT was presented in [18] known as BLG theory. In BLG theory, there are a lot of attempts to show that a M5-brane action leads to multiple M2-branes action or vice versa. We hope that uplifting the above results teach us more about M2-M5 relation. In the other hand in comparison to non-commutative D_p -brane, it seems that one should know about "*non-commutative*" M5-brane to explain the relation correctly, although the geometry of M5-brane is not known by now [19].

Acknowledgment

We would like to specially thank M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari for careful reading of the manuscript and valuable discussion.

References

- [1] J. Polchinski, "Dirichlet-Branes and Ramond-Ramond Charges," Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724 [arXiv:hep-th/9510017], E. Witten, "Bound states of strings and p-branes," Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996) 335 [arXiv:hep-th/9510135], J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri and C. V. Johnson, "Notes on D-Branes," [arXiv:hep-th/9602052], C. P. Bachas, "Lectures on D-branes," [arXiv:hep-th/9806199].
- [2] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy," Phys. Lett. B **379** (1996) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/9601029].
- [3] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity," Phys. Rept. **323** (2000) 183 [arXiv:hep-th/9905111].
- [4] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, "M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture," Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 5112 [arXiv:hep-th/9610043].

- [5] L. Susskind, “The quantum Hall fluid and non-commutative Chern Simons theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0101029.
- [6] P. M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo and S. Shiba, “M5-brane in three-form flux and multiple M2-branes,” JHEP **0808** (2008) 014 [arXiv:0805.2898 [hep-th]].
- [7] R. C. Myers, “Dielectric-branes,” JHEP **9912** (1999) 022 [arXiv:hep-th/9910053].
- [8] F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Noncommutative geometry from strings and branes,” JHEP **9902** (1999) 016 [arXiv:hep-th/9810072]. J. Hashiba and S. Terashima, “Geometry and $N = 2$ exceptional gauge theories,” JHEP **9909** (1999) 020 [arXiv:hep-th/9909032].
- [9] H. Liu, “*-Trek II: $\ast_n$ operations, open Wilson lines and the Seiberg-Witten map,” Nucl. Phys. B **614** (2001) 305 [arXiv:hep-th/0011125]; M. R. Garousi, “Dirac-Born-Infeld action, Seiberg-Witten map, and Wilson lines,” Nucl. Phys. B **611** (2001) 467 [arXiv:hep-th/0105139].
- [10] J. Kluson, “D-branes from N non-BPS D0-branes,” JHEP **0011** (2000) 016 [arXiv:hep-th/0009189].
- [11] A. Sen, “Supersymmetric world-volume action for non-BPS D-branes,” JHEP **9910** (1999) 008 [arXiv:hep-th/9909062].
- [12] M. R. Garousi, “Tachyon couplings on non-BPS D-branes and Dirac-Born-Infeld action,” Nucl. Phys. B **584** (2000) 284 [arXiv:hep-th/0003122].
- [13] E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, T. C. de Wit, E. Eyras and S. Panda, “T-duality and actions for non-BPS D-branes,” JHEP **0005** (2000) 009 [arXiv:hep-th/0003221].
- [14] S. Mukhi and N. V. Suryanarayana, “Chern-Simons terms on noncommutative branes,” JHEP **0011** (2000) 006 [arXiv:hep-th/0009101].
- [15] H. Liu and J. Michelson, “Ramond-Ramond couplings of noncommutative D-branes,” Phys. Lett. B **518** (2001) 143 [arXiv:hep-th/0104139].
- [16] S. Mukhi and N. V. Suryanarayana, “Ramond-Ramond couplings of noncommutative branes,” arXiv:hep-th/0107087.

- [17] J. H. Schwarz, “Superconformal Chern-Simons theories,” JHEP **0411** (2004) 078 [arXiv:hep-th/0411077].
- [18] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Comments On Multiple M2-branes,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738 [hep-th]]. J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th]]. J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Modeling multiple M2’s,” Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 045020 [arXiv:hep-th/0611108]. A. Gustavsson, “Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **811**, 66 (2009) [arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th]].
- [19] C. H. Chen, P. M. Ho and T. Takimi, “A No-Go Theorem for M5-brane Theory,” arXiv:1001.3244 [hep-th].